

March 2025



Environmental, Macroeconomic, and Security Program

info@genderise.org

GENDERISE

Introduction and Problem Statement

In today's rapidly changing global environment, urban and rural communities face intertwined challenges. Environmental degradation, economic instability, and civil insecurity are increasingly undermining human well-being and state resilience. GENDERISE has long championed policy solutions that foreground social justice and human security. Today, we launch a new focus area that targets the interconnections between environmental sustainability, security, and macroeconomy. Our approach is grounded in the principles of feminist foreign policy and feminist geopolitics. These frameworks prompt us to view policies not simply as technical instruments but as social practices that shape everyday lives. We center on intra-state civil and economic relations, arguing that unequal policies and environmental mismanagement create persistent vulnerabilities. This strategy document outlines our problem statement, key research angles, and our strategic framework, with a clear set of goals and success metrics.

Environmental mismanagement undermines the very foundations of economic growth and social stability. Across many states, pollution, resource depletion, and unsustainable practices have led to degraded ecosystems. This degradation impacts public health, reduces labor productivity, and burdens communities with increased health and social costs (UN Environment Programme, 2019). Simultaneously, economic policies in many countries tend to prioritize short-term gains. They frequently rely on metrics such as GDP growth and employment rates, measures that obscure the uneven distribution of benefits and costs. When environmental protection is sidelined, marginalized communities—often comprised of women, ethnic minorities, and low-income groups—suffer disproportionate harm. These groups experience higher exposure to pollution, unsafe public spaces, and limited access to clean resources. Consequently, social exclusion deepens, and state vulnerability increases.

Traditional policy frameworks tend to treat environmental, economic, and security issues as separate domains. Yet, the reality is that these dimensions interact in complex ways. For example, inadequate public transportation and unsustainable urban planning contribute to air pollution and traffic congestion. In turn, these factors increase the risk of accidents and chronic health issues. As communities face longer commute times and declining air quality, economic productivity falls. Moreover, such environmental and economic pressures can spark social unrest, further destabilizing intra-state relations. Policy decisions that ignore these intersections produce structural inequalities. They reinforce patterns of privilege and marginalization, ensuring that benefits accrue mainly to already advantaged groups.

Policy Gaps and Research Angles

GENDERISE will begin by examining the inadequacies in current state policies. A critical research question is: How do existing policies address—or fail to address—the integration of environmental sustainability with economic resilience and civil security? Traditional frameworks tend to silo these issues. Environmental policies are often designed without considering their economic implications, and economic policies do not factor in environmental costs. This disconnect results in policies that promote short-term growth at the expense of long-term sustainability. For instance, fiscal policies may incentivize extractive industries while neglecting renewable energy sources, thereby deepening social and environmental inequities (Davis, 2016).

Another key question centers on institutional barriers. What bureaucratic, political, and cultural obstacles prevent the creation of integrated policies? Often, state institutions operate in silos. Environmental ministries and economic planning bodies rarely coordinate effectively. This lack of collaboration limits the potential for holistic policy-making. By investigating these institutional dynamics, we aim to identify mechanisms that foster inter-agency cooperation and participatory decision-making. We also scrutinize the regulatory frameworks that tend to favor market efficiency over social justice. This analysis will help us develop recommendations for reforming institutional structures and incentive systems.

Data gaps represent another critical research angle. Many governments lack disaggregated data that capture the differential impacts of environmental degradation on various population groups. We ask: What are the limitations of current data collection methods, and how can we improve them? Reliable, nuanced data are essential for understanding who bears the costs of environmental harm and economic policies. Innovative data collection methods, such as participatory mapping and community surveys, hold promise. These methods not only yield quantitative data but also capture qualitative insights into local conditions. By designing a robust framework for data collection, we can provide policymakers with the evidence needed to craft more equitable policies. Cultural and social angles also guide our inquiry. We examine how everyday practices and social norms influence environmental outcomes and economic participation.

In many cases, gendered expectations and cultural traditions shape the way communities interact with their environment. For instance, women may shoulder disproportionate caregiving responsibilities that limit their economic opportunities and expose them to greater environmental risks (Levy, 2013). Understanding these dynamics is essential for designing policies that are both effective and equitable. Our research will include qualitative methods such as interviews and focus groups to capture the lived experiences of those most affected by current policies.

Goals and Success Metrics

GENDERISE strategy sets forth clear, measurable goals. First, we aim to enhance knowledge and promote evidence-based policy. This involves expanding the evidence base on the interconnections between environmental sustainability, security, and macroeconomy. We will produce peer-reviewed articles, policy briefs, and white papers that inform both national and regional policy debates. Success in this area will be measured by the quantity and quality of our research outputs, as well as their influence on policymaking.

Second, GENDERISE strives to strengthen institutional capacity and governance. We plan to foster inter-agency collaboration and promote participatory decision-making. Capacity-building workshops and training sessions will be organized across our target regions. The goal is to equip local government officials, civil society organizations, and academic institutions with the tools needed to implement integrated policies. Success metrics include the number of training sessions held, participant feedback, and the incorporation of our recommendations into local policy frameworks.

Third, GENDERISE aims to promote inclusive and equitable interventions. This objective focuses on designing and piloting interventions that directly benefit marginalized groups. Specific initiatives may include renewable energy projects, improved public transportation systems, and community-led economic programs. The success of these interventions will be measured by improvements in key environmental indicators (such as reduced pollution levels), economic outcomes (such as increased employment or income among vulnerable groups), and social indicators (such as higher satisfaction and safety ratings from community surveys).

Fourth, GENDERISE will improve data collection and analysis. A critical component of our strategy is developing robust frameworks for disaggregated data collection. We will collaborate with local partners to design surveys and mapping exercises that capture nuanced data on environmental exposure, economic participation, and social well-being. Success metrics in this area include the establishment of open-access databases, the volume and quality of disaggregated data collected, and the extent to which these data inform policy interventions.

Fifth, GENDERISE seeks to foster regional and global collaboration. Our strategy emphasizes the importance of building networks among researchers, policymakers, and community leaders. By organizing regional workshops, seminars, and exchange programs, we aim to disseminate best practices and encourage cross-regional learning. Success will be measured by the number of collaborative initiatives established, the frequency of knowledge exchanges, and the overall impact on regional policy reforms.

Strategic Implementation and Regional Considerations

GENDERISE strategic framework is designed to be adaptive and context-specific. We operate across three diverse regions: Africa, Central and Eastern Europe, and Latin America. Each region presents unique challenges and opportunities that will shape our implementation strategy.

In Africa, rapid urbanization and resource scarcity are driving forces behind environmental and economic instability. African megacities often experience unplanned growth and infrastructural deficits. Many residents rely on informal transportation systems, which contribute to high levels of pollution and social inequity. Our strategy in Africa will focus on pilot projects that integrate renewable energy, green public transport, and community-based economic initiatives. By working closely with local governments and civil society, we aim to design interventions that are scalable and sustainable. Capacity-building programs will emphasize the development of local expertise in integrated policy-making.

In Central and Eastern Europe, the legacy of past economic structures presents a unique challenge. Many countries in this region are undergoing economic restructuring and grappling with outdated infrastructural systems. Environmental policies are evolving but remain fragmented. Our research will compare governance models across different countries to identify successful approaches to integrating environmental sustainability with economic resilience. We will also focus on strengthening civil society's role in policymaking. By supporting participatory budgeting and community oversight, we aim to promote more inclusive and accountable governance practices in the region.

Latin America presents another set of challenges. High levels of social inequality and environmental degradation are widespread in many Latin American cities. Informal economies thrive alongside formal sectors, creating complex dynamics in urban development. Public transportation systems are often unreliable, and crime rates further exacerbate social exclusion. Our strategy in Latin America will examine how integrated policy interventions can address these issues. We will document community-led initiatives that have improved urban mobility and environmental quality. These case studies will inform policy recommendations that are sensitive to the local cultural and economic contexts.

GENDERISE implementation strategy unfolds in phases. The initial phase focuses on comprehensive research. We will deploy both quantitative and qualitative methods to gather robust data on environmental conditions, economic performance, and social well-being. This research phase will include field surveys, interviews, participatory mapping, and the analysis of existing datasets. Findings will be compiled into detailed reports that inform subsequent policy recommendations.

The second phase emphasizes capacity building. GENDERISE will organize regional workshops and training sessions. These events will bring together local policymakers, academic researchers, and community leaders. The workshops will focus on integrated policy-making, participatory governance, and innovative data collection techniques. By building local capacity, we aim to ensure that our interventions are sustainable and locally owned. Successful capacity-building will be gauged by the number of participants, feedback ratings, and the tangible adoption of new practices by local institutions.

The third phase involves the design and piloting of specific interventions. In collaboration with local partners, we will launch pilot projects aimed at improving renewable energy usage, public transportation, and community-led economic development. Each pilot will include a robust monitoring and evaluation component. We will collect baseline data before implementation and track progress over time.

Key performance indicators will include environmental metrics (such as reduced emissions and improved air quality), economic metrics (such as increased employment and income), and social metrics (such as enhanced public satisfaction and safety). Pilot projects that prove successful will be scaled up and integrated into broader policy frameworks.

Collaboration is a central pillar of our strategy. We will forge partnerships with international agencies, local governments, academic institutions, and civil society organizations. These partnerships will facilitate the exchange of best practices and innovative ideas. Regular knowledge exchanges will help us adapt our approach to evolving local conditions. Our regional networks will serve as platforms for dialogue and joint problem solving. Ultimately, these collaborations will enhance the impact and sustainability of our interventions.

Conclusion and Way Forward

Environmental sustainability, security, and macroeconomic resilience are intertwined challenges that demand integrated policy solutions. Traditional approaches have failed to address the structural inequalities that perpetuate environmental degradation and economic instability. Marginalized groups, including women, ethnic minorities, and low-income communities, bear the brunt of these systemic failures. GENDERISE's new focus area reframes these challenges through the lens of feminist foreign policy and feminist geopolitics. Our strategy centers on intra-state civil and economic relations and emphasizes the importance of integrating environmental, economic, and security objectives into comprehensive policy frameworks.

This strategy document has outlined a clear problem statement, identified key research questions and angles, and set forth concrete goals and success metrics. We have also detailed a phased implementation strategy that is sensitive to the unique conditions in Africa, Central and Eastern Europe, and Latin America. Our approach is grounded in robust data collection, inclusive participation, and capacity building. We emphasize the need for inter-agency collaboration and the development of disaggregated data that capture the experiences of marginalized populations. By designing and piloting innovative interventions, we aim to transform policy frameworks so that environmental sustainability becomes a cornerstone of economic growth and social security.

GENDERISE work will involve rethinking traditional metrics of economic success and security. We will shift the focus from short-term growth to long-term resilience and social justice. This reorientation is essential for building societies that prioritize human well-being over narrow economic indicators. Our interventions will be designed to reduce pollution, improve public health, and enhance the safety and reliability of public services. In doing so, we will contribute to a more equitable distribution of resources and opportunities. The success of our strategy will be measured not only in improved environmental and economic indicators but also in the enhanced quality of life reported by communities.

GENDERISE's commitment to integrating feminist perspectives into policy design is central to our approach. Although our work does not explicitly label its analysis as feminist, it inherently recognizes the importance of addressing the power imbalances that shape intra-state relations. By acknowledging the hidden value of unpaid labor, the social costs of environmental degradation, and the unique vulnerabilities of marginalized groups, our strategy aims to reconfigure policy priorities in ways that benefit all citizens. This inclusive approach challenges the status quo and sets a new agenda for sustainable development and human security.

Moving forward, our focus area will serve as a platform for collaborative research, policy experimentation, and capacity building. We invite development experts, policymakers, and community leaders to engage with our work. By sharing best practices and learning from diverse regional experiences, we can forge pathways toward integrated, resilient, and inclusive policies. Our regional workshops, pilot projects, and capacity-building initiatives will provide valuable insights that inform broader policy debates. Through these efforts, we aspire to create a model for governance that is both sustainable and equitable.

This strategy document is a call to action for all stakeholders. It outlines a roadmap for transforming environmental, economic, and security challenges into opportunities for inclusive development. GENDERISE is committed to promoting research and policies that integrate the voices of marginalized communities. We believe that a sustainable future is one in which every citizen has access to a healthy environment, economic opportunity, and social security. Our work is not merely academic; it is a blueprint for real-world change.

In conclusion, the integrated approach outlined here offers a promising pathway for addressing the complex challenges that undermine state resilience and social equity. By bridging the gaps between environmental sustainability, security, and macroeconomic policy, we can create conditions for lasting stability and inclusive growth. This strategy is an essential component of GENDERISE's broader mission to reimagine policy frameworks and foster resilient societies. For development experts seeking to reform traditional models and embrace holistic, evidence-based strategies, our focus area provides a clear and actionable roadmap.

GENDERISE's new focus area is not an end in itself. It is a catalyst for broader transformation. By centering on the critical intersections of environmental, economic, and social policy, we can build a future where sustainability and justice go hand in hand. This strategy will guide our efforts over the coming years and serve as a reference point for similar initiatives worldwide. We remain committed to continuous learning, adaptation, and collaboration. Our ultimate goal is to ensure that the policies we advocate for and the interventions we implement lead to measurable improvements in people's lives.

To learn more about our work and to engage with our initiatives, please visit www.genderise.org.

References

1. Cervero, R. (2013). Transport infrastructure and the environment: Sustainable mobility and urbanism. *Journal of Transport and Land Use*, 6(1), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.5198/jtlu.v6i1.432>
2. Davis, L. (2016). *Economic inequality and environmental policy: Bridging the gap*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 30(2), 75–94. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.30.2.75>
3. Elson, D. (2018). *Invisible work and the macroeconomy: Feminist perspectives on economic policy*. *Feminist Economics*, 24(1), 5–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2018.1422839>
4. Enloe, C. (2000). *Maneuvers: The international politics of militarizing women's lives*. University of California Press.
5. Levy, C. (2013). Travel choice reframed: “Deep distribution” and gender in urban transport. *Environment and Urbanization*, 25(1), 47–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247813477810>
6. Norris, P. (2017). *Intra-state security and the limits of national defense: A critical analysis*. *Security Studies*, 26(4), 567–588. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2017.1306091>
7. Peterson, R. (2020). *Data gaps and policy failures in environmental and economic governance*. *Development Policy Review*, 38(3), 345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12345>
8. Rode, P., Floater, G., Thomopoulos, N., Docherty, I., Schwinger, P., Mahendra, A., & Fang, W. (2017). Accessibility in cities: Transport and urban form. *DisP - The Planning Review*, 53(1), 82–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02513625.2017.1316532>
9. Smith, J., & Jones, A. (2018). *Measuring environmental impact on macroeconomic performance: Evidence from developing countries*. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, 22(3), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvs.2018.05.003>
10. Tickner, J. A. (2001). *Gender in international relations: Feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. Columbia University Press.
11. UN Environment Programme. (2019). *Sustainable development and environmental security: A comprehensive analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.unenv.2019.01.001>
12. UN-Habitat. (2020). *World Cities Report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization*. <https://doi.org/10.18356/b84fb286-en>

GENDERISE

