GENDERISE

African Value Chains, Mobility, and Home-Grown Development: A Macroeconomic Analysis

Introduction

In recent years, African economies have increasingly recognized that sustainable growth must be built on resilient domestic value chains and robust regional mobility. The abrupt cessation of US-funded development programs —typified by the recent closure of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) by the US government— has exposed a critical funding gap that underscores the urgency of home-grown African facilitated development.

With USAID's operations reduced from over 10,000 employees to effectively zero in many regions, African governments and private actors are now compelled to take the lead in designing, financing, and implementing development initiatives that not only address immediate logistical challenges but also foster long-term macroeconomic stability.

Historically, USAID provided a significant portion of external development aid that helped stabilize fragile economies and supported key sectors such as agriculture, health, and infrastructure. In the cashew processing sector, for example, long and costly supply chains hindered competitiveness, with factories sourcing raw materials from distant regions —such as states in southern Nigeria— incurring unsustainable logistics costs that forced many operations to shutter.

With the withdrawal of USAID critical external support, the disruptions in supply chains dynamics are even more pronounced. Data indicate that in some regions, transportation costs accounted for up to 40% of the overall cost of moving goods, exacerbating the financial burden on firms that already faced challenges from porous borders and fragmented regulatory systems.

The closure of USAID has forced a rethinking of development policy in Africa. No longer able to rely on external aid, African nations must now develop home-grown mechanisms to support and enhance their value chains. This reorientation toward locally driven development involves harnessing domestic resources, leveraging local expertise, and establishing partnerships among governments, private enterprises, and civil society. Such a home-grown facilitated development approach not only reduces dependency on external donors but also promotes ownership and accountability, ensuring that development initiatives are tailored to the specific challenges and opportunities of each region.

Discussion

In the context of the cashew value chain—a critical agro-processing sector in West Africa—several firms have reported that relocating processing facilities closer to raw material sources could lower logistics costs dramatically. Consultants have estimated that up to 70% of the raw materials transported in some cases are substandard or wasted, and that moving production closer to sources could cut transportation expenses by nearly 50%. However, despite these promising prospects, many efforts to negotiate local facility arrangements have failed due to inflexible contractual terms and inadequate local infrastructure.

With USAID's exit, the onus is now on African stakeholders to create frameworks that facilitate such relocations through streamlined contracting, better local financing options, and improved interagency coordination.

A critical aspect of home-grown facilitated development is the enhancement of intra-African mobility. Mobility in this context refers not only to the physical transportation of goods but also to the flow of knowledge, technology, and finance across borders. Under the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), intra-African trade is projected to increase by up to 109% by 2035, and wage growth is expected to rise by approximately 9.8% for men and 11.2% for women in some regions. However, current intra-African trade only accounts for about 15% of total trade on the continent.

In order to fully capture the potential of the AfCFTA, African nations must invest in infrastructure improvements such as modern road networks, efficient border management systems, and digital trade facilitation platforms. These investments will reduce delays and lower transport costs, which currently add significantly to the cost of exported goods, thus enhancing overall competitiveness.

Home-grown facilitated development also calls for an aggressive focus on capacity building. Without USAID's technical assistance, local institutions must now assume responsibility for training workers in modern production techniques, quality control, and international certification standards.

Initiatives like the Centre for Appropriate Rural Technology (CART) and Sicambeni Rural University in South Africa provide compelling examples of how local innovation can drive sustainable development. These institutions empower communities by transferring skills that enable the production of high-quality, value-added products using locally sourced materials and technologies. Such programs have shown that when communities are given the tools to develop on their own, they can achieve productivity gains that rival those previously supported by external aid.

Furthermore, financing mechanisms must be restructured to support home-grown initiatives. With USAID's funding no longer available, African governments need to increase domestic resource mobilization (DRM) to fund critical development projects. Recent estimates suggest that Africa loses between US$500 billion and US$600 billion annually through illicit financial flows and inefficiencies in public spending. Redirecting a portion of these lost funds toward domestic development could provide the necessary capital to upgrade infrastructure, support local enterprises, and promote innovation within key sectors such as agro-processing, manufacturing, and renewable energy.

Local financing can be augmented by public-private partnerships (PPPs) that bring together government, local financial institutions, and multinational corporations. Organizations like the African Development Bank (AfDB) have already championed initiatives to support home-grown solutions to Africa's development challenges, emphasizing the need for indigenous approaches to finance and technology. In addition, innovative financing models such as micro-grants and community investment funds have demonstrated the potential to catalyze local entrepreneurship. For example, Spark MicroGrants has enabled more than 170 villages across Rwanda, Uganda, Burundi, and Ghana to launch sustainable development projects through a facilitated collective action process, achieving a project sustainability rate of 91%.

The need for home-grown facilitated development extends beyond the cashew value chain and applies to multiple sectors critical to Africa's long-term development and growth. In health, for instance, the closure of

USAID has interrupted essential services such as HIV treatment, malaria prevention, infectious diseases research, and disaster relief.

Without international aid, countries must invest in building resilient healthcare systems that are capable of sustaining themselves through domestic funding and local innovation. Such investments are crucial for preventing the spread of disease and ensuring that vulnerable populations have access to life-saving treatments.

Similarly, in education, home-grown initiatives can leverage local knowledge and resources to develop curricula and training programs that are more relevant to Africa's unique challenges and opportunities. These programs can also strengthen the linkages between education and economic development, ultimately boosting the continent's human capital and driving productivity gains.

Macroeconomically, the shift to home-grown facilitated development has profound implications. First, it fosters a greater sense of ownership and accountability among African governments and communities, leading to more efficient allocation of resources and higher returns on investment. Second, by reducing dependency on external aid, African nations can design policies that are more closely aligned with their developmental priorities, which can result in a more diversified and resilient economy. For instance, by prioritizing investments in technology and infrastructure, countries can create an enabling environment for innovation that stimulates economic growth, job creation, and improved public services. Finally, a home-grown approach encourages regional integration and cooperation, as nations collaborate to share best practices, pool resources, and address common challenges such as climate change, food security, and energy access.

The AfCFTA serves as a key institutional framework to facilitate this cooperation, providing a platform for dialogue and joint action that can help harmonize policies and standards across borders.

In the wake of the USAID closure, the imperative for home-grown facilitated development has never been greater. African leaders must now mobilize domestic political will and financial resources to fill the void left by the withdrawal of external aid.

This requires not only investing in physical infrastructure but also building robust institutions that can sustain long-term development. Such institutions must be capable of formulating, implementing, and monitoring policies that promote innovation, efficient resource allocation, and regional integration. Moreover, by embracing home-grown approaches, African nations can reassert their agency in the global economic system, ensuring that their development trajectories are determined by local needs and realities rather than by the priorities of distant donors.

The reorientation toward home-grown facilitated development also has significant implications for Africa's international bargaining power. Historically, dependency on external aid has often undermined African governments' ability to negotiate favorable terms in international trade and finance. By developing self-reliant development models, African nations can shift the balance of power in global negotiations, reducing their vulnerability to the policy whims of donor countries. This enhanced bargaining power is critical for securing better terms in trade agreements and for attracting foreign direct investment that complements domestic development efforts rather than substituting for them.

Ultimately, the collapse of USAID's operations, while a severe disruption, represents an inflection point. It challenges African countries to embrace a model of development that is deeply rooted in local capabilities, innovation, and mutual cooperation. By investing in robust domestic institutions, fostering regional integration through frameworks like the AfCFTA, and mobilizing domestic resources to finance key projects, Africa can transform its value chains and unlock new sources of sustainable growth.

The path forward is not without challenges—inefficiencies, bureaucratic inertia, and initial funding gaps may impede progress—but the long-term benefits of a home-grown facilitated development model are compelling. This model promises not only to bridge the gap left by the withdrawal of external aid but also to create a more self-determined, resilient, and prosperous African economy that is capable of navigating the uncertainties of the global economic landscape.

Conclusion

In sum, the recent shutdown of USAID by the US government has exposed a critical vulnerability in the traditional aid-based development model. It has underscored the need for African nations to transition to home-grown facilitated development, where locally generated resources, indigenous expertise, and regional cooperation drive economic progress. As Africa embarks on this transformation, the focus must be on building resilient value chains and ensuring that mobility —both physical and intellectual— is at the heart of development policies. The potential gains are substantial: increased intra-African trade, improved public services, enhanced self-sufficiency, and ultimately, a stronger, more autonomous continent poised for sustainable, inclusive growth.

References

1. Brookings Institution. (2024). *The future of African trade in the AfCFTA era.* https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the- future-of-african-trade-in-the-afcfta-era/
2. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. (2023). *AfCFTA: Seizing opportunities for a prosperous Africa.* https://www.un.org/africarenewal/magazine/may-2023/afcfta-seizing-opportunities-prosperous-africa
3. Farrelly Mitchell. (2024). *Food and beverage report: Cashew nut production in West Africa.* https://farrellymitchell.com/crops/food-beverage-report-west-africa/
4. European Commission. (2021). *Foresight Africa: Top Priorities for the Continent.* https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2021-09/strategic_foresight_report_2021_en.pdf
5. International Trade Centre. (2025). *SheTrades West Africa: Opening new opportunities for women in the cashew value chain.* https://www.intracen.org/news-and-events/news/shetrades-west-africa-opening-new-opportunities-for-women-in-the-cashew-value
6. AfDB. (2023). *African debt and domestic resource mobilization report.* https://www.afdb.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/west_africa_economic_outlook_2023_final.pdf
7. Spark MicroGrants. (2021). *Annual impact report 2021.* https://spark.ngo/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/SPARK-Annual-Report-2021.pdf
8. AfCFTA Secretariat. (2023). *Operational phase of the AfCFTA.* https://au.int/en/articles/operational-phase-african-continental-free-trade-area-launched
9. UNDP. (2020). *Investing in home-grown development: A pathway to self-reliance in Africa.* https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/migration/africa/RBA---ASDR-2020---updated---03032022.pdf